


TO: SANDY FOOSE  
THROUGH: DENNIS M. STAINKEN *DS*  
FROM: CHARLES LOBUE *CLB*  
DATE: MARCH 17, 1993  
SUBJECT: RESPONSE TO EPA COMMENTS FOR THE SUFFOLK AIRPORT  
C&D SITE ESI (CERCLIS I.D. NO. NYD981146983)

We have received the EPA comments regarding the Suffolk Airport C&D Site Expanded Site Inspection. For ease of reference we have identified our responses by numbers that correspond to the order of the EPA comments.

- Halliburton NUS Corporation response No. 1: The title page on all volumes has been changed as noted.
- Halliburton NUS Corporation response No. 2: The preliminary CLP data was replaced with the final CLP data. There were no significant differences noted.
-  Halliburton NUS Corporation response No. 3: The likelihood of release factor category was scored based on potential to release since the analytical results for groundwater samples collected during the ESI do not support an observed release. However, contingent on receipt of supporting quality assurance data (which was not available during the ESI), an observed release may be scoreable using analytical results of sampling conducted by the NYSDEC in 1989. The single ESI groundwater sampling event does not disprove a previously "documented" release. It may be possible to obtain and use the necessary supporting data during preparation of the HRS documentation package. In any case, the groundwater pathway score will remain at the maximum value of 100, and therefore the merits of obtaining this data should be weighed against the effort required.

We hope that you will find our explanation helpful in the completion of your review of the report. Attached is a copy of the EPA comments. If you have any questions, please contact me at (908) 738-8980.

CLB/imv  
M039331

cc: Kris Krishnaswami

362322

